## Exhibit H

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 1 of





From: Olivia Reyes < <a href="mailto:oreyes@wedgewoodhomes.com">oreyes@wedgewoodhomes.com</a>>

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 21, 2025 10:44:09 AM

To: Michelle A. Mierzwa < mmierzwa@wrightlegal.net >

Cc: SaveIt <SaveIt@wrightlegal.net>

Subject: RE: 252-20242335 1933 Grass Mountain Court, Antioch, CA Bankruptcy Case No. 25-40564 CN

Hi Michelle,

Our in-house legal team reviewed the BK and agrees this could be headache.... No one has time for an additional headache these days.  $\bigcirc$  Will funds be returned via wire or check?

Good Neighbor Homes, LLC is requesting interest due to our funds being held in the amount of \$4,888.35 (51 days x \$95.85 per day). Can you please demand from the servicer?

Thank you

#### **OLIVIA REYES**

**T**. 310.640.3070 x 2110 | **F**. 424-218-0880



OREYES@WEDGEWOODHOMES.COM

WWW.WEDGEWOODHOMES.COM

From: Michelle A. Mierzwa < mmierzwa@wrightlegal.net >

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 20, 2025 5:52 PM

To: Olivia Reyes <oreyes@wedgewoodhomes.com>

Cc: SaveIt < SaveIt@wrightlegal.net >

Subject: 252-20242335 1933 Grass Mountain Court, Antioch, CA Bankruptcy Case No. 25-40564 CN

[External Sender]

Ms. Reyes,

As we discussed, the foreclosure sale of the above-mentioned property was conducted at 9:14 am PT on April 1, 2025, prior to the filing of the above-mentioned bankruptcy case at 9:36 am PT on April 1, 2025. You indicated that you became aware of the bankruptcy filing at some point. As a result of the delivery of a notice of intent to bid affidavit pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2), the 45-day period for post-sale bids was triggered, so the identity of the high bidder was not known until recently. Since no other post-sale bidders submitted a bid, Good Neighbor Homes, LLC as the high bidder at the live sale auction was deemed the last and highest bidder pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(4). It is our understanding that the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale will need to be

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 2 of

recorded within 60 days of the live sale auction in order to benefit from the statutory "relation back" to 8:00 a.m. on the day of the live sale.

There is a concern that the borrower (Wilkerson) under the foreclosed loan intends to challenge the validity of the sale, as our client, NBS Default, was already served with, and provided preliminary responses to, a subpoena seeking information about the identity of the high bidder, post auction eligible bidders and the timing of the live auction. As a result, it may be prudent for Good Neighbor Homes, LLC to file an ex parte motion for annulment/relief from stay regarding the recording of the Trustee's Deed, in addition to ensuring that the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale is recorded inside the statutory period. Otherwise, there may be ongoing challenges to the validity of the sale. If Good Neighbor Homes prefers not to become involved in potential litigation relating to the foreclosure, with the agreement of all parties NBS Default may be able to return the bid funds to allow the borrower's bankruptcy to play out in the ordinary course. Please let us know how Good Neighbor Homes, LLC would like to proceed.

#### Michelle A. Mierzwa, Esq.

Partner, Compliance Division

4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 280 Newport Beach CA 92660 (949) 438-1265 Direct (949) 608-9142 Fax (949) 477-5050 Main Ext. 1042

Wright, Finlay & Zak: Your Western Regional Counsel for California, Nevada, Arizona, Washington, Oregon, Utah, New Mexico, Montana, Hawaii, Idaho, and South Dakota

PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT THIS FIRM IS A DEBT COLLECTOR ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT A DEBT. ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

IF YOU ARE A CONSUMER AND WISH TO OPT OUT OF EMAIL COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING YOUR DEBT, PLEASE REPLY TO THIS EMAIL WITH "OPT OUT."

Confidentiality Note: The information contained in this email is privileged and confidential and is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named If the reader of this email is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any distribution or copy of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender by telephone immediately at (949) 477-5052 and arrangements will be made for the return of this material. Thank You.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you may NOT use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please contact the sender

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 3 of

# Exhibit I

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 4 of

1	WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP					
2	Arnold L. Graff, Esq. (SBN 269170) 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 200					
3	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 477-5050					
4	Fax: (949) 608-9142 Email: agraff@wrightlegal.net					
5	Attorneys for Interested Party,					
6	NBS DEFAULT SERVICES, LLC					
7	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT				
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND DIVISION					
9	_	[				
10	In re:	Bankruptcy Case No.: 25-40564-CN				
11	MELISSA WILKERSON,	Chapter: 13				
12	Debtor.	INTERESTED PARTY'S NBS DEFAULT SERVICES, LLC'S				
13		RESPONSES TO DEBTOR'S REQUEST FOR INTERROGATORIES				
14		SET ONE				
15						
16	PROPOUNDING PARTY: MELISSA	WILKERSON				
17	RESPONDING PARTY: NBS DEFA	AULT SERVICES, LLC				
18	SET NO.: ONE					
19	Interested Party, NBS Default Services, LLC ("Responding Party" or "NBS") hereby					
20	responds to the Interrogatories (Set 1) propounded by Debtor, Melissa Wilkerson, ("Debtor" or					
21	"Propounding Party") as follows:					
22	GENERAL O	BJECTIONS				
23	Responding Party generally objects to the	e Interrogatories to the extent that the demand				
24	would require the Responding Party to disclose i	nformation which is legally protected by one or				
	1					

more evidentiary privileges recognized under California and/or Federal law, including the attorney-client privilege and the attorney work-product doctrine. Accordingly, to the extent that the Interrogatories call for a disclosure of protected information, a continuing objection to each and every such request is hereby imposed. Responding Party further and generally objects to the Interrogatories to the extent that it seeks information which is equally available to the Propounding Party.

Notwithstanding and without waiving the foregoing objections, responding party, in good faith, has intended to supply, wherever possible, responsive information in answer to Propounding Party's request and will make assumptions wherever necessary to attempt to understand the intent of certain questions asked. In this regard, all responses made herein are based upon information presently known to the responding party, its representatives, agents and attorneys of record after reasonable investigation.

Responding Party is in the initial stages of its investigation and discovery concerning the subject matter of this action and anticipates that, during the course of its continued investigation and discovery, further pertinent information will be found. As such, Responding Party reserves its right to introduce evidence and/or rely upon at trial, or any other preliminary hearings set in this action and on facts and information omitted from the following responses, whether as a result of mistake, error or oversight, or because such information has not yet been discovered or recognized.

Responding Party further reserves all rights and objections asserted herein with respect to the propriety, scope and related aspects of this or other discovery and reserves all rights in connection therewith. In each and every response, Responding Party does not intend or will not attempt to list each and every item of evidentiary material in support of its contentions, but rather, as a courtesy, will provide facts and responses where appropriate.

The foregoing general objections are incorporated into each response set forth below.

2

1

#### INTERROGATORIES

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 1:**

IDENTIFY the time and date that YOU first learned of this BANKRUPTCY filing and state the manner in which YOU first received notice or learned of the BANKRUPTCY.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

On April 1, 2025 at 11:57 a.m. Central Time, Responding Party received a phone call from a male caller. He did not disclose his relationship with the borrowers under the Loan, but he indicated that the Borrowers under the Loan filed bankruptcy. He provided a Northern District Bankruptcy Case Number of 25-40564, but he did not provide any copies of a filed petition or a Notice of Bankruptcy Filing entered by the court. After performing due diligence to determine if and when a bankruptcy petition was actually filed, NBS obtained from the bankruptcy court PACER system a copy of a bankruptcy petition filed by a different debtor, Melissa Wilkerson, which was stamped by the bankruptcy court as entered at 9:36 a.m. Pacific Time, after the completion of the foreclosure sale at 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1, 2025

### **INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

State all facts supporting YOUR contention that YOUR actions relating to the foreclosure of the SUBJECT PROPERTY did not violate the automatic stay.

#### RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

When the default under the Loan was not cured, on February 18, 2025, NBS, as foreclosure trustee, recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale that set the date for the foreclosure auction for April 1, 2025, in Pleasant Hill, California. Prior to the foreclosure auction a Sale Datedown was ordered by NBS and prepared by a title company, which included confirmation that as of 9:03 a.m. on April 1, 2025, bankruptcy PACER records indicated that no bankruptcy petition had been filed

9

7

11 12

10

13 14

15

16

18

17

19

20

2122

23

24

by the Borrowers or Melissa Wilkerson. Thereafter on April 1, 2025, the NBS conducted and completed a foreclosure sale through a local auction company at 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time where the Property sold to a third-party, Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. Good Neighbor Homes, LLC delivered its bid funds to the local auction company via wire, and the bid funds were accepted as the high bid at the foreclosure sale effective 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1, 2025. NBS received sale result information and a copy of the Trustee Certificate of Sale/Receipt confirming that the foreclosure auction was cried and completed as of 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1, 2025 with Good Neighbor Homes, LLC as the high bidder.

Later the same morning as the foreclosure sale, Debtor filed a Voluntary Petition under Chapter 13 of the bankruptcy code. At 11:57 a.m. Central Time on April 1, 2025, NBS received a phone call from a male caller indicating that a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition was filed as Case No. 25-40564 in the Northern District of California. NBS retrieved a copy of the petition available on the court's PACER Docket, which bears a time stamp of 9:36:41 a.m., after completion of the foreclosure sale to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. On April 11, 2025, NBS received an email attaching a letter from Shepard & Wood, LLP, identifying E. Vincent Wood as the attorney for the Debtor and providing written notice that the Debtor's Bankruptcy was filed at 9:19 a.m. The letter enclosed a copy of a Notice of Bankruptcy Case filing stating "A bankruptcy case concerning the debtor(s) listed below was filed under Chapter 13 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, entered on 04/01/2025 at 09:36 AM and filed on 04/01/2025" and the Notice indicated a filing time of 9:19 a.m. on April 1, 2025, after completion of the foreclosure sale to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. On April 14, 2025, NBS responded to the April 11, 2025 letter to confirm to Debtor's counsel that the foreclosure sale was completed at 9:14 am on April 1, 2025, and there was no violation of the stay.

Since the Property contained a single-family residence, NBS was required to wait for 15 days after the completion of the foreclosure sale before issuing the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale to see if any eligible bidders would deliver a notice of intent to bid pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2). On April 4, 2025, NBS received a notice of intent to bid from Carl Dexter pursuant to Civil Code section m(c)(2), who provided an Affidavit pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m under penalty of perjury indicating that he qualified as an eligible bidder in the category of prospective owner occupant under Civil Code section 2924m(a)(1). The notice under Civil Code sections 2924m(c)(2) [Notice of Intent] and 2924m(a)(1) [Prospective Owner Occupant Affidavit | triggered the 45-day waiting period for post-auction bids to be submitted to the trustee pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(4) so that NBS as trustee could confirm the identity of the high bidder to include in the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale. As of July 18, 2024, clarifying language in Civil Code section 2924h(c) was enacted to confirm that if an eligible bidder submits a written notice of intent to bid pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2), the trustee's deed shall be deemed perfected as of 8 a.m. on the actual date of sale if the trustee's deed is recorded within 60 calendar days after the sale or the next business day if the county recorder is closed on the 45<sup>th</sup> day. As a result, when the 45-day period is triggered by submission of a notice of intent under Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2), no action is taken by the trustee until the end of the 45day period, which maintains the status quo to allow the required statutory overbid period to play out. On April 25, 2025, NBS received a subpoena from Vincent Wood, the attorney for Debtor and on May 2, 2025 NBS retained Wright, Finlay & Zak. LLP ("WFZ") as its counsel to assist with response to the subpoena. On May 5, 2025, WFZ Partner Michelle Mierzwa spoke with Mr. Wood regarding the timing of the foreclosure sale prior to the Debtor's bankruptcy filing and the receipt of a notice of intent to bid from a prospective owner occupant under Civil Code section

2924m(c)(2), delaying the identity of the high bidder for the completed sale until May 16, 2025. On May 7, 2025, NBS responded to a Subpoena served by Debtor's counsel, providing copies of documents evidencing compliance with applicable statutes and confirmation that the foreclosure sale was completed at 9:14 a.m. prior to the filing of the Debtor's bankruptcy petition (whether it was at 9:36 a.m. or at 9:19 a.m. on April 1, 2025). The end of the 45-day period for submission of post-sale overbids was May 16, 2025, and the end of the 60-day period to record the trustee's deed was Saturday, May 31, 2025, with the next business day being Monday, June 2, 2025. On May 19, 2025, NBS confirmed no other bid funds had been received and that the high bidder at auction, Good Neighbor Home, LLC, was still the high bidder. NBS did not take any action regarding the completed sale during the 45-day period that would be considered a violation of any bankruptcy stay because the information available to NBS between April 1, 2025 and May 27, 2025 indicated that the foreclosure sale was completed before the Debtor's bankruptcy was filed.

Despite the apparent validity of the completed foreclosure sale, in light of the aggressive behavior and threats of the Debtor's counsel in April and May of 2025, NBS inquired with the high bidder Good Neighbor Homes, LLC, regarding whether it might agree to voluntarily cancel the completed sale. On May 21, 2025 Good Neighbor Homes indicated that it would agree to accept a refund of its bid funds to cancel the foreclosure sale, so NBS inquired with Shellpoint about a voluntary agreement to cancel the completed sale.

On May 22, 2024, before any Trustee's Deed had been prepared or issued, new co-counsel for Debtor, Andrew J. Christensen, filed the Motion for Damages for Violation of Automatic Stay, alleging for the first time that the bankruptcy petition was filed earlier than 9:19 a.m. on April, 1, 2025. However, since Mr. Andersen mailed the Motion via USPS Ground Service and failed to communicate with NBS or its counsel about the new allegations regarding earlier filing, NBS and

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

State all facts supporting YOUR contention that YOUR actions relating to the foreclosure of the SUBJECT PROPERTY were not willful violations of the automatic stay.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:**

When the default under the Loan was not cured, on February 18, 2025, NBS, as foreclosure trustee, recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale that set the date for the foreclosure auction for April 1, 2025, in Pleasant Hill, California. Prior to the foreclosure auction a Sale Datedown was ordered by NBS and prepared by a title company, which included confirmation that as of 9:03 a.m. on April 1, 2025, bankruptcy PACER records indicated that no bankruptcy petition had been filed by the Borrowers or Melissa Wilkerson. Thereafter on April 1, 2025, the NBS conducted and completed a foreclosure sale through a local auction company at 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time where

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the Property sold to a third-party, Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. Good Neighbor Homes, LLC delivered its bid funds to the local auction company via wire, and the bid funds were accepted as the high bid at the foreclosure sale effective 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1, 2025. NBS received sale result information and a copy of the Trustee Certificate of Sale/Receipt confirming that the foreclosure auction was cried and completed as of 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1,

2025 with Good Neighbor Homes, LLC as the high bidder.

Later the same morning as the foreclosure sale, Debtor filed a Voluntary Petition under Chapter 13 of the bankruptcy code. At 11:57 a.m. Central Time on April 1, 2025, NBS received a phone call from a male caller indicating that a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition was filed as Case No. 25-40564 in the Northern District of California. NBS retrieved a copy of the petition available on the court's PACER Docket, which bears a time stamp of 9:36:41 a.m., after completion of the foreclosure sale to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. On April 11, 2025, NBS received an email attaching a letter from Shepard & Wood, LLP, identifying E. Vincent Wood as the attorney for the Debtor and providing written notice that the Debtor's Bankruptcy was filed at 9:19 a.m. The letter enclosed a copy of a Notice of Bankruptcy Case filing stating "A bankruptcy case concerning the debtor(s) listed below was filed under Chapter 13 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, entered on 04/01/2025 at 09:36 AM and filed on 04/01/2025" and the Notice indicated a filing time of 9:19 a.m. on April 1, 2025, after completion of the foreclosure sale to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. On April 14, 2025, NBS responded to the April 11, 2025 letter to confirm to Debtor's counsel that the foreclosure sale was completed at 9:14 am on April 1, 2025, and there was no violation of the stay.

Since the Property contained a single-family residence, NBS was required to wait for 15 days after the completion of the foreclosure sale before issuing the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale to see if any eligible bidders would deliver a notice of intent to bid pursuant to Civil Code section

19

20

21

22

23

sale was completed at 9:14 a.m. prior to the filing of the Debtor's bankruptcy petition (whether it was at 9:36 a.m. or at 9:19 a.m. on April 1, 2025). The end of the 45-day period for submission of post-sale overbids was May 16, 2025, and the end of the 60-day period to record the trustee's deed was Saturday, May 31, 2025, with the next business day being Monday, June 2, 2025. On May 19, 2025, NBS confirmed no other bid funds had been received and that the high bidder at auction, Good Neighbor Home, LLC, was still the high bidder. NBS did not take any action regarding the completed sale during the 45-day period that would be considered a violation of any bankruptcy stay because the information available to NBS between April 1, 2025 and May 27, 2025 indicated that the foreclosure sale was completed before the Debtor's bankruptcy was filed.

Despite the apparent validity of the completed foreclosure sale, in light of the aggressive behavior and threats of the Debtor's counsel in April and May of 2025, NBS inquired with the high bidder Good Neighbor Homes, LLC, regarding whether it might agree to voluntarily cancel the completed sale. On May 21, 2025 Good Neighbor Homes indicated that it would agree to accept a refund of its bid funds to cancel the foreclosure sale, so NBS inquired with Shellpoint about a voluntary agreement to cancel the completed sale.

On May 22, 2024, before any Trustee's Deed had been prepared or issued, new co-counsel for Debtor, Andrew J. Christensen, filed the Motion for Damages for Violation of Automatic Stay, alleging for the first time that the bankruptcy petition was filed earlier than 9:19 a.m. on April, 1, 2025. However, since Mr. Andersen mailed the Motion via USPS Ground Service and failed to communicate with NBS or its counsel at any point previously about the new allegations regarding earlier filing, NBS and its counsel did not receive the Motion containing these new allegations until May 27, 2028. Immediately following review of the Motion for Damages, NBS' counsel called Mr. Andersen on May 28, 2025 advising that NBS would confirm cancellation of the

foreclosure sale as soon as possible based on the new allegations, and demanded that Debtor withdraw the Motion as no Trustee's Deed Upon Sale had been prepared or issued,. On May 30, 2025, NewRez/Shellpoint confirmed approval of the cancellation of the foreclosure, authorizing return of the bid funds to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. Thereafter, NBS' counsel sent an email to Mr. Christensen and Mr. Wood on May 30, 2025, confirming the sale was being canceled and again demanding withdrawal of the Motion. On the same date, Mr. Christensen sent a demand for payment of \$25,000.00 to withdraw the Motion for Damages. NBS returned the bid funds to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC June 4, 2025, deeming the sale cancelled, and a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was never prepared or recorded. On June 5, 2025, NBS' counsel sent a copy of the bid fund return correspondence and check to Debtor's counsel, confirming no trustee's deed was or would be issued.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 4**:

If YOU have policies and procedures for handling foreclosures in California related to bankruptcy filings and California Civil Codes §2924m and/or §2924h, identify all DOCUMENTS that evidence such policies and procedures.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:**

Policies and procedures of NBS regarding the processing of California non-judicial foreclosures are contained in the "California Non-Judicial Procedures" job aid, which includes sections on Post-Sale – Overbid / Sale Proceeds / Trustee's Deed, Day 16 Intent to Overbid Review, Day 45 – Bid Review, and Bankruptcy Notifications.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 5:**

DESCRIBE ALL policies and procedures YOU have for dealing with a foreclosure when a bankruptcy case is filed after the auction starts but before the conclusion of the auction on the 15th or 45th day under §2924m.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5**:

Responding Party objects to the Interrogatory to the extent that it requires Responding Party to admit or accept as true a proposed legal conclusion that the foreclosure sale is not complete until the 15<sup>th</sup> or 45<sup>th</sup> day under §2924m, and Responding Party is not a law firm or attorney. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, Responding Party responds that policies and procedures of NBS regarding the processing of California non-judicial foreclosures are contained in the "California Non-Judicial Procedures" job aid, which includes sections on Post-Sale – Overbid / Sale Proceeds / Trustee's Deed, Day 16 Intent to Overbid Review, Day 45 – Bid Review, and Bankruptcy Notifications.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

IDENTIFY the person(s) responsible for creating the policies and procedures for handling foreclosures in California related to bankruptcy filings and California Civil Codes §2924m and/or §2924h.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:**

Objection, compound. Without waiving this objection, Responding Party responds that NBS management creates policies and procedures for handling non-judicial foreclosures in California, including policies and procedures regarding bankruptcy filings and California Civil Codes §2924m and/or §2924h.

#### **INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

EXPLAIN all actions taken by NBS to deem the sale final and/or accept the bid of Good Neighbor Homes, LLC in relation to the foreclosure of the SUBJECT PROPERTY.

#### **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7:**

Responding Party objects to the Interrogatory to the extent that it requires Responding Party to admit or accept as true a proposed legal or factual conclusion that the foreclosure sale was deemed

final to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC by the issuance and recording of a trustee's deed, since no trustee's deed was ever prepared or recorded regarding this foreclosure. Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objection, Responding Party responds as follows. Prior to the foreclosure auction a Sale Datedown was ordered by NBS and prepared by a title company, which included confirmation that as of 9:03 a.m. on April 1, 2025, bankruptcy PACER records indicated that no bankruptcy petition had been filed by the Borrowers or Melissa Wilkerson. Thereafter on April 1, 2025, the NBS conducted and completed a foreclosure sale through a local auction company at 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time where the Property sold to a third-party, Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. Good Neighbor Homes, LLC delivered its bid funds to the local auction company via wire, and the bid funds were accepted as the high bid at the foreclosure sale effective 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1, 2025. The completion of the sale auction and the acceptance of the bid funds by the local auction company completed the foreclosure sale. NBS received sale result information and a copy of the Trustee Certificate of Sale/Receipt confirming that the foreclosure auction was cried and completed as of 9:14 a.m. Pacific Time on April 1, 2025 with Good Neighbor Homes, LLC as the high bidder.

At 11:57 a.m. Central Time on April 1, 2025, when NBS received a phone call from a male caller indicating that a Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition was filed as Case No. 25-40564 in the Northern District of California, NBS retrieved a copy of the petition available on the court's PACER Docket, which bears a time stamp of 9:36:41 a.m. NBS confirmed that this time stamp occurred after the completion of the foreclosure auction as of 9:14 .am. Pacific Time. NBS reviewed the timing of the completed foreclosure sale to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC with its counsel to confirm that the later bankruptcy filing did not impact the validity of the foreclosure sale, and because the property contained a single family residence, waited for the 15-day period after the foreclosure sale to expire to allow for the post auction bid process to occur, pursuant to

Civil Code sections 2924m and 2924h. On April 4, 2025, NBS received a notice of intent to bio
from Carl Dexter pursuant to Civil Code section m(c)(2), who provided an Affidavit pursuant to
Civil Code section 2924m under penalty of perjury indicating that he qualified as an eligible
bidder in the category of prospective owner occupant under Civil Code section 2924m(a)(1). The
notice under Civil Code sections 2924m(c)(2) [Notice of Intent] and 2924m(a)(1) [Prospective
Owner Occupant Affidavit] triggered the 45-day waiting period for post-auction bids to be
submitted to the trustee pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(4) so that NBS as trustee could
confirm the identity of the high bidder to include in the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale. As of July 18
2024, clarifying language in Civil Code section 2924h(c) was enacted to confirm that if an eligible
bidder submits a written notice of intent to bid pursuant to Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2), the
trustee's deed shall be deemed perfected as of 8 a.m. on the actual date of sale if the trustee's deed
is recorded within 60 calendar days after the sale or the next business day if the county recorder
is closed on the 45 <sup>th</sup> day. As a result, when the 45-day period was triggered by submission of a
notice of intent under Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2), no action was taken by the NBS to issue
the trustee's deed until the end of the 45-day period, which maintains the status quo to allow the
required statutory overbid period to play out.

On April 11, 2025, NBS received an email attaching a letter from Shepard & Wood, LLP, identifying E. Vincent Wood as the attorney for the Debtor and providing written notice that the Debtor's Bankruptcy was filed at 9:19 a.m. The letter enclosed a copy of a Notice of Bankruptcy Case filing stating "A bankruptcy case concerning the debtor(s) listed below was filed under Chapter 13 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, entered on 04/01/2025 at 09:36 AM and filed on 04/01/2025" and the Notice indicated a filing time of 9:19 a.m. on April 1, 2025, after completion of the foreclosure sale to Good Neighbor Homes, LLC. On April 14, 2025, NBS responded to the April 11, 2025 letter to confirm to Debtor's counsel that the foreclosure sale was

was filed at 9:19 am.

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23

24

completed at 9:14 am on April 1, 2025, and there was no violation of the stay, even if the petition

On April 25, 2025, NBS received a subpoena from Vincent Wood, the attorney for Debtor and on May 2, 2025 NBS retained Wright, Finlay & Zak. LLP ("WFZ") as its counsel to assist with response to the subpoena. On May 5, 2025, WFZ Partner Michelle Mierzwa spoke with Mr. Wood regarding the timing of the foreclosure sale prior to the Debtor's bankruptcy filing and the receipt of a notice of intent to bid from a prospective owner occupant under Civil Code section 2924m(c)(2), delaying the identity of the high bidder for the completed sale until May 16, 2025. On May 7, 2025, NBS responded to the Subpoena served by Debtor's counsel, providing copies of documents evidencing compliance with applicable statutes and confirmation that the foreclosure sale was completed at 9:14 a.m. prior to the filing of the Debtor's bankruptcy petition (whether it was at 9:36 a.m. or at 9:19 a.m. on April 1, 2025). The end of the 45-day period for submission of post-sale overbids was May 16, 2025, and the end of the 60-day period to record the trustee's deed was Saturday, May 31, 2025, with the next business day being Monday, June 2, 2025. On May 19, 2025, NBS confirmed no other bid funds had been received and that the high bidder at auction, Good Neighbor Home, LLC, was still the high bidder. NBS did not take any action regarding the completed sale during the 45-day period that could be considered a violation of any bankruptcy stay because the information available to NBS between April 1, 2025 and May 27, 2025 indicated that the foreclosure sale was completed before the Debtor's bankruptcy was filed.

In light of the aggressive position of Mr. Wood in subpoenaing the trustee documents and the apparent equity in the property, WFZ inquired with Good Neighbor Homes, LLC on May 20, 2025, about a potential cancellation of the foreclosure sale after WFZ let them know that no postsale bids had been received and the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale was eligible for issuance. On May

1	(despite the inability of NBS to confirm whether the allegations were actually true), NBS arranged					
2	for the cancellation of the foreclosure	sale,	before any trustee's deed was prepared or issued to			
3	the high bidder.					
4			WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP			
5	Dated: July 3, 2025 B	y:	/s/ Arnold L. Graff, Esq.			
6	Dated. July 3, 2023	J !	Arnold L. Graff, Esq. Attorneys for Responding Party,			
7			NBS DEFAULT SERVICES, LLC			
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18 19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
			17			

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Jessica Kahler, as an authorized agent for NBS Default Services, LLC in the aboveentitled action, am authorized to make this Verification on its behalf. I have read the foregoing NBS Default Services, LLC's Responses to Debtor's Interrogatories (SET ONE) and know the contents. The same is true of our own knowledge except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, we believe them to be true.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Verification was executed on July 3, 2025, at Dallas, TX.

By:

Title:

ice President, Foreclosure For NBS Default Services, LLC

1	WRIGHT, FINLAY & ZAK, LLP Arnold L. Graff, Esq. (SBN 269170)							
2	Michelle A. Mierzwa, Esq. (SBN 196175) 4665 MacArthur Court, Suite 200							
3	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 477-5050							
4	Fax: (949) 608-9142 Email: agraff@wrightlegal.net							
5	Attorney for Respondent,							
6	NBS DEFAULT SERVICES, LLC							
7	UNITED STATES BA	NKRUPTCY COURT						
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND DIVISION							
9	In re:	BK Case No.: 25-40564 CN						
10	MELISSA MARIE WILKERSON,	Chapter: 13						
11	Debtor.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
12								
13								
14								
15	CERTIFICATE	OF SERVICE						
16	I, Jaclyn Powell, declare that I am over 18 years of age, employed in Orange County, and							
17	not a party to the action. My business address is	4665 MacArthur Ct #200, Newport Beach, CA						
18	92660. On July 3, 2025, I served the following documents on the parties below by First Class Mail							
19	and email.							
20	INTERESTED PARTY'S NBS DEFA	ULT SERVICES, LLC'S RESPONSES TO						
21	DEBTOR'S REQUEST FOR INTERROGAT	ORIES, SET ONE.						
22								
23								
24								
	1							

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 23

CERTIFICA 16 OF SERVICE

1 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this 2 declaration was executed on the following date at Newport Beach, California. 3 Executed on 7/3/2025, at Newport Beach, California. 4 <u>/s/ Jackie Powell</u> JACKIE POWELL 5 NewRez LLC, dba Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing Attn: Agent Koy Saechao, or Rebecca 6 Wang, or Alex Jenkins, or Wendy Harris 2710 Gateway Oaks Drive, 7 Sacramento, CA. 95833 8 (Secretary of State Address) Bonial & Associates, P.C. Attorney for NBS Default 9 14841 Dallas Pkwy. Suite 350 Services LLC Dallas TX. 73254 Brandon Trout Esq. Attorney for Good Neighbor 10 2015 Manhattan Beach Boulevard Suite Homes 11 100, Redondo CA, 90278 LLC btrout@wedgewood-inc.com 12 Andrew J. Christensen (SBN: 260748) Attorney for Debtor Melissa Law Offices of Andrew J. Christensen, P.C. Wilkerson 13 2063 Mountain Blvd. Suite 2 Oakland, CA 94611 14 Andrew@CaliforniaHomeLawyer.com 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

# Exhibit J

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 25

#### **Daniel Marcus**

From: Olivia Reyes

**Sent:** Monday, May 19, 2025 5:17 PM

**To:** Justin Bruni; Brandon Trout; Tanner Smith

**Subject:** FW: BK Potential Invalidation - 1933 Grass Mountain Ct, Antioch, CA

**Attachments:** audio.mp3

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Completed

Hi,

Tanner – how much do you like this deal? Possible issue due to a BK filing.

https://mprod.wedgewood-inc.com/propertydetails/59422

Brandon – Sale cried at 9:14 am. BK filed at 9:36 am; 25-40564. This is the borrowers first filing. Per the attached voice message and below transcript, the borrower's attorney will most likely contest the sale. An NOI was filed but funds were not received, thus the trustee can issue the deed, and we will have to record before the 60<sup>th</sup> day.

Thank you

**OLIVIA REYES** 

T. 310.640.3070 x 2110 | F. 424-218-0880

WEDGEWOOD HOMES

OREYES@WEDGEWOODHOMES.COM

WWW.WEDGEWOODHOMES.COM

From: Mercy Gutierrez < Mercy@wedgewoodhomes.com>

Sent: Monday, May 19, 2025 2:02 PM

**To:** Olivia Reyes <oreyes@wedgewoodhomes.com>; Tanner Smith <tsmith@wedgewoodhomes.com>; Nathan Reeves <nreeves@wedgewoodhomes.com>

Cc: Luci Moise < lmoise@wedgewoodhomes.com>; Deeds < Deeds@wedgewood-inc.com>

Subject: BK Potential Invalidation - 1933 Grass Mountain

Hi Olivia,

Please see below for transcribed voicemail. No NOI received however, there was a BK filed and there is a possibility of an invalidation.

1933 GRASS MOUNTAIN CT

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 26

of 30

Regards,

#### **MERCY GUTIERREZ**

Senior Director, Acquisition and Title Operations 424.269.3450



MERCY@WEDGEWOODHOMES.COM

WWW.WEDGEWOODHOMES.COM

From: +1 619-252-0915 <+16192520915> Sent: Monday, May 19, 2025 11:12 AM

**To:** Mercy Gutierrez < <u>Mercy@wedgewoodhomes.com</u>>

Subject: Voice Mail (2 minutes and 31 seconds)

Hi, this message is for Michelle Lee. This is Michelle calling on behalf of NBS Default Services and I'm calling regarding a foreclosure sale of property that was conducted in Contra Costa County and you were the successful bidder at the live auction. And the reason for my call is that I wanted to let you know that the there was a bankruptcy that was filed after shortly after the sale was cried and the borrower's attorney has subpoenaed the records of the trustee and it appears that they may be seeking to contest the results of the foreclosure. So I wanted to let you know because there were no other post sale bidders who submitted bids in the SB1079 process and so the trustee would normally issue the deed to you, but we at least wanted to let you know that there was this pending issue. So in the event that you wanted to reconsider whether you want to complete the sale in light of the potential bankruptcy invalidation issue. So anyway, if you could give me a call, I would greatly appreciate it. My name is Michelle. My phone number is 94. Well, let me yeah, 949438 1265 and let me see if I can also find a property address for you. Hang on a second, let me see here. It looks like 1933 Grass Mountain Court in Antioch, CA. So again, please give me a call. If you can't reach me at my desk, you can try my mobile number which is 619-252-0915. Thank you.

You received a voice mail from +1 619-252-0915.

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 27

Thank you for using Transcription! If you don't see a transcript above, it's because the audio quality was not clear enough to transcribe.

Set Up Voice Mail

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 28

of 30

### Exhibit K

Case: 25-40564 Doc# 55-1 Filed: 07/05/25 Entered: 07/05/25 01:48:58 Page 29 of 30



te Who	Matter	Project	Description	Time	Rate	Total
8/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	call from creditor counsel Michelle Mierzwa re motion for violation of the stay	0.2	\$650	\$130
8/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	call with client with update from call with Michelle Mierzwa re motion for violation of the stay	0.4	\$650	\$260
8/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	call with co counsel Vincent Wood regarding call from creditor counsel Michelle Mierzwa re motion for violation of the stay, and steps forward	0.5	\$650	\$325
0/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Settlement	read email from creditors counsel Mierzwa re sale cancellation and draft settlement offer in response	0.9	\$650	\$585
0/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Settlement	call with client about email from creditors counsel Mierzwa re sale cancellation and settlement offer in response	0.2	\$650	\$130
0/2025 KS	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	draft amended notice of hearing	0.3	\$100	\$30
0/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Discovery	draft discovery requests, RFP, RFA, ROGS	0.3	\$650	\$195
/2/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Settlement	call from Good Neigbor counsel Brandon Trout about facts and request for dismissal. draft and send follow email to him	0.4	\$650	\$260
3/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Discovery	draft discovery requests to Shellpoint, Good Neighbor, and NBS, including RFA, ROGS, RFP	3.8	\$650	\$2,470
/3/2025 KS	Wilkerson	Discovery	draft discovery requests to Shellpoint, Good Neighbor, and NBS, including RFA, ROGS, RFP	1.6	\$100	\$160
2/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	call with co counsel Vincent Wood	0.7	\$650	\$455
2/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	Draft portion of reply brief on issues raised in call with co counsel Vincent Wood	0.6	\$650	\$390
7/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	read Good neighbor opposition brief and emails about discovery, and respond to email	0.7	\$650	\$455
/4/2025 AJC	Wilkerson	Stay Violation	Read opposition of NBS to motion for damages for stay violation,Read discovery responses of NBS and Good Neighbor, draft Reply brief. Actual time, 10.6 hours, voluntary reduction to 7 hours	7	\$650	\$4,550
			Timekeeper Subtotals			
			AJC	15.7		\$10,205
			кѕ	1.9		\$190
			Project Subtotals			
			Discovery	5.7		\$2,825
			Settlement	1.5		\$975
			Stay Violation	10.4		\$6,595

of 30